LABOR COMMISSIONER, STATE OF CALIFORNIA
Department of Industrial Relations
Division of Labor Standards Enforcement
7575 Metropolitan Dr., Ste. 210
San Diego CA 92108
Tel: (619) 220-5451 Fax: (619) 767-2020

Plaintiff: Carolyn Matteo

Defendant: Brian Takita, an individual

State Case Number

10 - 79632 MM NOTICE OF PAYMENT DUE

You have been served a copy of the Labor Commissioner's Order, Decision or Award.

If the full amount of the sums set forth in the Order, Decision or Award is received by this office within ten (10) days of the date the Order, Decision or Award was served upon you, no judgment will be entered in this matter.

Payment must be made by certified check, cashier's check or money order (no other tender will be accepted) made payable to the Plaintiff named in the Order, Decision or Award, and addressed to the Office of the Labor Commissioner at the address shown above.

DATED: February 13, 2013

Mark Meeker

Mark Meeker

Deputy Labor Commissioner

619-767-2009

LABOR COMMISSIONER, STATE OF CALIFORNIA	For Court Use Only:	
Department of Industrial Relations		
Division of Labor Standards Enforcement 7575 Metropolitan Dr., Ste. 210	a a	
San Diego CA 92108		
Tel: (619) 220-5451 Fax: (619) 767-2020		
Plaintiff: Carolyn Matteo		
	Court Number	
	Court Number	
Defendant: Brian Takita, an individual		
State Case Number ORDER, DECISION OR AWARD OF THE LABOR COMMISSIONER		
10 - 79632 MM		
1. The above-entitled matter came on for hearing before the Labor Commissioner of the State of California as follows:		
DATE: February 11, 2013 CONTINUED TO:		
CITY: 7575 Metropolitan Dr., Ste. 210, San Diego, CA 92108		
2. IT IS ORDERED THAT: Plaintiff recover from Defendant.		
\$ for wages (with lawful deductions)		
\$ for liquidated damages pursuant to Labor Code Section 1194.2		
\$ 170.00 Reimbursable business expenses		
\$ 39.79 for interest pursuant to Labor Code Section(s) 98.1(c), 1194.2 and/or 2802(b),		
\$ 1,920.00 for additional wages accrued pursuant to Labor Code Section 203 as a penalty and that same shall not be		

3. The herein Order, Decision or Award is based upon the Findings of Fact, Legal Analysis and Conclusions attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference.

for penalties pursuant to Labor Code Section 203.1 which shall not be subject to payroll or other deductions.

subject to payroll or other deductions.

TOTAL AMOUNT OF AWARD

4. The parties herein are notified and advised that this Order, Decision or Award of the Labor Commissioner shall become final and enforceable as a judgment in a court of law unless either or both parties exercise their right to appeal to the appropriate court\* within ten (10) days of service of this document. Service of this document can be accomplished either by first class mail or by personal delivery and is effective upon mailing or at the time of personal delivery. If service on the parties is made by mail, the ten (10) day appeal period shall be extended by five (5) days. For parties served outside of California, the period of extension is longer (See Code of Civil Procedure Section 1013). In case of appeal, the necessary filing fee must be paid by the appellant and appellant must, immediately upon filing an appeal with the appropriate court, serve a copy of the appeal request upon the Labor Commissioner. If an appeal is filed by a corporation, a non-lawyer agent of the corporation may file the Notice of Appeal with the appropriate court, but the corporation must be represented in any subsequent trial by an attorney, licensed to practice in the State of California. Labor Code Section 98.2(c) provides that if the party seeking review by filing an appeal to the court is unsuccessful in such appeal, the court shall determine the costs and reasonable attorney's fees incurred by the other party to the appeal and assess such amount as a cost upon the party filing the appeal. An employee is successful if the court awards an amount greater than zero.

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE: Labor Code Section 98.2(b) requires that as a condition to filing an appeal of an Order, Decision or Award of the Labor Commissioner, the employer shall first post a bond or undertaking with the court in the amount of the ODA; and the employer shall provide written notice to the other parties and the Labor Commissioner of the posting of the undertaking. Labor Code Section 98.2(b) also requires the undertaking contain other specific conditions for distribution under the bond. While this claim is before the Labor Commissioner, you are required to notify the Labor Commissioner *in writing* of any changes in your business or personal address within 10 days after any change occurs.

San Diego County Superior 330 West Broadway, 2nd Floor San Diego, CA 92101

0.00

0.00

2,641.79

other (specify):

\$

\$

LABOR COMMISSIONER, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Dan Minchey

HEARING OFFICER

# DEPARTMENT OF INDUSTRIAL RELATIONS DIVISION OF LABOR STANDARDS ENFORCEMENT

In the Matter of	)	State Case Number
CAROLYN MATTEO	)	10-79632 MM
	)	ORDER, DECISION OR AWARD
Plaintiff	)	OF THE LABORCOMMISSIONER
v.	)	
BRIAN TAKITA, AN INDIVIDUAL	)	
	)	
	)	*
Defendant	)	
APPEARANCES FOR PLAINTIFF:	,	
Carolyn Matteo (Plaintiff)		

# **APPEARANCES FOR DEFENDANT:**

No Appearances

# **BACKGROUND**

The Plaintiff filed an initial report or claim with the Labor Commissioner's office on September 14, 2012. The complaint raises the following allegations:

- 1. That the Plaintiff is entitled to recover from the Defendant \$256.00 for regular wages earned in the period July 12, 2012 through July 15, 2012, being thirty-two (32) regular hours at \$8.00 per hour.
- 2. That the Plaintiff is entitled to recover from the Defendant \$170.00 for expenses incurred in the discharge of her duties during the period July 12, 2012 through July 15, 2012.
- 3. That the Plaintiff is entitled to recover from the Defendant \$256.00 in liquidated damages pursuant to the provisions of Labor Code Section 1194.2.

- 4. That the Plaintiff is entitled to recover from the Defendant penalties pursuant to the provisions of Labor Code Section 203 at the rate of \$64.00 per day for an indeterminate number of days not to exceed thirty (30) days.
- 5. That the Plaintiff is entitled to recover from the Defendant interest pursuant to the provisions of Labor Code Sections 98.1, 1194.2 and 2802.

A hearing was conducted at the Labor Commissioner's office in San Diego, California on February 11, 2012, before the undersigned hearing officer designated by the Labor Commissioner to hear this matter.

The Plaintiff appeared pro persona.

The Defendant failed to appear at the hearing and did not file an answer to the complaint.

Due consideration having been given to the testimony, documentary evidence, and arguments presented, the Labor Commissioner hereby adopts the following Order, Decision or Award.

## **FINDINGS OF FACT**

The Plaintiff testified that she worked for the Defendant from July 12, 2012 through July 15, 2012 as a personal assistant to Nadeea Valianova. The Plaintiff testified that the agreed rate of pay was \$250.00 for four (4) days of work. The Plaintiff testified that she was asked to work a fifth (5th) day but she declined as the agreement was only for four (4) days.

The Plaintiff testified that she typically worked eight (8) hours per day.

The Plaintiff testified that she requested payment from Nadeea Valianova. The Plaintiff testified that Nadeea Valianova referred her to the Defendant and informed her that he would take care of it.

The Plaintiff testified she emailed and phoned requesting her wages multiple times. The Plaintiff testified that the Defendant refused to pay her and informed her that she could take him to court.

The Plaintiff entered into evidence an email dated July 18, 2012 (Plaintiff's Exhibit #1). The email is from the Plaintiff to the Defendant as well as "missheartheranne@gmail.com" and <a href="mailto:management@nadeea.com">management@nadeea.com</a>. The email states, in pertinent part, "I worked 4 days, at least 40 hours for a grand total of \$250.00." The email states, in pertinent part, "Additionally, I paid, out

of my own pocket for some of Nadeeas purchases, (none of which have been reimburse to date) I used my own vehicle (your ad falsely stated that Nadeea would have a car for me to use but she didn't), I used my own camera and edited, per your clients' request, on my own time-photos she demanded I take. (I have text messages to prove this) I emailed many batches of edited photos to your client at <a href="mailto:management@nadeea.com">mailto:management@nadeea.com</a>".

The Plaintiff entered into evidence a Location Management page from Yahoo showing the Defendant's address (Plaintiff's Exhibit #2).

The Plaintiff is claiming \$170.00 for expenses.

### **LEGAL ANALYSIS**

The wages, hours and working conditions of the Defendant's employees are regulated by the provisions of the California Labor Code and the Orders of the Industrial Welfare Commission.

In these administrative hearings, the Plaintiff has the initial burden of proof.<sup>1</sup>

"Burden of proof" is defined in California Evidence Code Section 115 as follows: "Burden of proof" means the obligation of a party to establish by evidence a requisite degree of belief concerning a fact in the mind of the trier of fact or the court. In these administrative hearings the trier of fact is the Hearing Officer.

California Evidence Code Section 115 also provides "Except as otherwise provided by law, the burden of proof requires proof by a preponderance of the evidence."

"Evidence" is defined in California Evidence Code Section 140 as follows: "Evidence" means testimony, writings, material objects, or other things presented to the senses that are offered to prove the existence or nonexistence of a fact.

"Preponderance of the evidence" means that evidence that, when weighed with that opposed to it, has more convincing force and the greater probability of truth.<sup>2</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> California Evidence Code Section 500 et seq.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> California Labor Code Section 3202.5

In that the Defendant failed to appear at the hearing and did not file an answer to the complaint, there is nothing before the Hearing Officer to deny or mitigate the Plaintiff's complaint.

That being said, Labor Code Section 98(f) provides, in part, "If the defendant fails to appear or answer within the time allowed under this chapter, no default shall be taken against him or her, but the Labor Commissioner shall hear the evidence offered and shall issue an order, decision, or award in accordance with the evidence."

The Plaintiff's complaint alleges, in part, that the Plaintiff is entitled to recover from the Defendant \$256.00 for regular wages earned in the period July 12, 2012 through July 15, 2012, being thirty-two (32) regular hours at \$8.00 per hour.

Labor Code Section 200 defines wages as "...all amounts for labor performed by employees of every description, whether the amount is fixed or ascertained by the standard of time, task, piece, commission basis, or other method of calculation."

Based on the Plaintiff's testimony she is entitled to the wages being sought.

Concerning this portion of the Plaintiff's complaint, the evidence supports a finding that the Plaintiff has met the burden of proof as required by law.

Therefore, the Plaintiff is entitled to recover from the Defendant \$256.00 in regular wages, being thirty-two (32) hours at \$8.00 per hour.

The Plaintiff's complaint alleges, in part, that the Plaintiff is entitled to recover from the Defendant \$170.00 in expenses.

Labor Code Section 2802 provides, "(a) An employer shall indemnify his or her employee for all necessary expenditures or losses incurred by the employee in direct consequence of the discharge of his or her duties, or of his or her obedience to the directions of the employer, even though unlawful, unless the employee, at the time of obeying the directions, believed them to be unlawful. (b) All awards made by a court or by the Division of Labor Standards Enforcement for reimbursement of necessary expenditures under this section shall carry interest at the same rate as judgments in civil actions. Interest shall accrue from the date on which the employee incurred the necessary expenditure or loss. (c) For purposes of this section, the term "necessary

expenditures or losses" shall include all reasonable costs, including, but not limited to, attorney's fees incurred by the employee enforcing the rights granted by this section."

The courts have noted that the "cases under this statute are few". In <u>Grissom v. Vons</u> <u>Companies, Inc.</u> (1991) 1 Cal. App. 4th 52, the court stated "If that expenditure is necessarily in direct consequence of the discharge of the employee's duties, then the employer must 'indemnify' the employee".

Based on Exhibit #1, the Plaintiff is entitled to the expenses being claimed.

The evidence supports a finding that the expenses incurred by the Plaintiff and for which the Plaintiff now seeks reimbursement were expenditures incurred "necessarily in direct consequence" of discharging her duties.

Concerning this portion of the Plaintiff's complaint, the evidence supports a finding that the Plaintiff has met the burden of proof as required by law.

Therefore, the Plaintiff is entitled to recover from the Defendant \$170.00 in expenses.

The Plaintiff's complaint alleges, in part, that the Plaintiff is entitled to recover from the Defendant liquidated damages pursuant to the provisions of Labor Code Sections 98 and 1194.2.

Labor Code Section 98 provides in relevant part, "(a) The Labor Commissioner is authorized to investigate employee complaints. The Labor Commissioner may provide for a hearing in any action to recover wages, penalties, and other demands for compensation, including liquidated damages if the complaint alleges payment of a wage less than the minimum wage fixed by an order of the Industrial Welfare Commission or by statute, properly before the division or the Labor Commissioner, including orders of the Industrial Welfare Commission, and shall determine all matters arising under his or her jurisdiction."

Labor Code Section 1194.2 provides, in relevant part, "(a) In any action under Section 98, 1193.6, or 1194 to recover wages because of the payment of a wage less than the minimum wage fixed by an order of the commission or by statute, an employee shall be entitled to recover liquidated damages in an amount equal to the wages unlawfully unpaid and interest thereon.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Machinists Automotive Trades Dist. Lodge v. Utility Trailers Sales Co. (1983) 141 Cal.App.3d 80, 87

Nothing in this subdivision shall be construed to authorize the recovery of liquidated damages for failure to pay overtime compensation."

The Plaintiff was not paid minimum wage as required by the Labor Code and Industrial Welfare Commission Orders. The Plaintiff is entitled to liquidated damages.

Concerning this portion of the Plaintiff's complaint, the evidence supports a finding that the Plaintiff has met the burden of proof as required by law.

Therefore, the Plaintiff is entitled to recover from the Defendant \$256.00 in liquidated damages, being thirty-two (32) hours at \$8.00 per hour.

The Plaintiff's complaint alleges, in part, that the Plaintiff is entitled to recover from the Defendant penalties pursuant to the provisions of Labor Code Section 203 at the rate of \$64.00 per day for an indeterminate number of days not to exceed thirty (30) days.

California Labor Code Section 203 provides, in relevant part, "If an employer willfully fails to pay, without abatement or reduction, in accordance with Sections 201, 201.5, 202, and 205.5, any wages of an employee who is discharged or who quits, the wages of the employee shall continue as a penalty from the due date thereof at the same rate until paid or until an action therefor is commenced; but the wages shall not continue for more than 30 days. An employee who secretes or absents himself or herself to avoid payment to him or her, or who refuses to receive the payment when fully tendered to him or her, including any penalty then accrued under this section, is not entitled to any benefit under this section for the time during which he or she so avoids payment."

California Labor Code Section 201 provides, in relevant part, "(a) If an employer discharges an employee, the wages earned and unpaid at the time of discharge are due and payable immediately."

Labor Code Section 208 provides, "Every employee who is discharged shall be paid at the place of discharge, and every employee who quits shall be paid at the office or agency of the employer in the county where the employee has been performing labor. All payments shall be made in the manner provided by law."

The evidence supports a finding that the Plaintiff was discharged and that her final wages were not paid immediately as required by Labor Code Section 201.

In addressing the purpose, the intent and the finding of fault for a willful failure to pay as provided for in Labor Code Section 203, the courts have held, "… to be at fault within the meaning of the statute, the employer's refusal to pay need not be based on a deliberate evil purpose to defraud workmen of wages which the employer knows to be due."<sup>4</sup>

Concerning this portion of the Plaintiff's complaint, the evidence supports a finding that the Plaintiff has met the burden of proof as required by law.

Therefore, the Plaintiff is entitled to recover from the Defendant \$1,920.00 for penalties pursuant to the provisions of Labor Code Section 203, being \$64.00 per day for thirty (30) days.

The Plaintiff's complaint alleges, in part, that the Plaintiff is entitled to recover from the Defendant interest pursuant to the provisions of Labor Code Sections 98.1, 1194.2 and or 2802.

Labor Code Section 98.1(c) provides, "All awards granted pursuant to a hearing under this chapter shall accrue interest on all due and unpaid wages at the same rate as prescribed by subdivision (b) of Section 3289 of the Civil Code. The interest shall accrue until the wages are paid from the date that the wages were due and payable as provided in Part 1 (commencing with Section 200) of Division 2."

Labor Code Section 1194.2 provides, in relevant part, "(a) In any action under Section 98, 1193.6, or 1194 to recover wages because of the payment of a wage less than the minimum wage fixed by an order of the commission or by statute, an employee shall be entitled to recover liquidated damages in an amount equal to the wages unlawfully unpaid and interest thereon. Nothing in this subdivision shall be construed to authorize the recovery of liquidated damages for failure to pay overtime compensation."

California Labor Code Section 2802(b) provides, "All awards made by a court or by the Division of Labor Standards Enforcement for reimbursement of necessary expenditures under this section shall carry interest at the same rate as judgments in civil actions."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Barnhill v. Robert Saunders & Co. (1981) 125 Cal.App.3d 1

In that a portion of the Plaintiff's award is for due and unpaid wages, liquidated damages and for expenses incurred in the discharge of her duties, the Plaintiff is entitled to interest on the award.

#### **CONCLUSION**

For all of the reasons set forth above, it is hereby ordered that the Plaintiff is entitled to recover from the Defendant a total of \$2,641.79 calculated as follows:

- 1. \$256.00 for wages (with lawful deductions)
- 2. \$256.00 for liquidated damages pursuant to Labor Code Section 1194.2
- 3. \$170.00 for reimbursable business expenses
- 4. \$39.79 for interest pursuant to the provisions of Labor Code Sections 98.1(c), 1194.2 and 2802 (b)
- 5. \$1,920.00 for additional wages accrued pursuant to Labor Code Section 203 as a penalty and that same shall not be subject to payroll or other deductions

Dated: February 13, 2013

Dan Minchey Hearing Officer